App. F. IX-4

Preserve for 20/11/28

1926

File No. 1-26

Previous References (V.P)

Serial Nos. 9217

Later References

VP 68-27-2

V.P 46-27 S 61-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

P.14/B

Part B for Aug 27.

SUBJECT

Proposal for the exclusion of some villages in Malavalli Taluk from the operation of the village Panchayet Regulation

17 To, The Revenue Commissioner No. L 1207/d 29.8.27

States that the introduction of the V.P. System can not be pushed through in the villages with scattered houses but issues subsidiary instructions regarding constituting them into hamlets

AS 24.1.28

4284

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. 111—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

U.P File No. 1 of 1926

Serial No.

9

From

The Revenue Commr in Cbe.

No C 3811 & 1-2)

Requests that, for the reason stated by him, small villages in the Malavad may be excluded from the operation of the U.P. Regulation.

1 The improvement of the Malavad area in the State is an important problem that Govt have undertaken to solve. The introduction of village panchayat system in these tracts also is a measure which goes a long way to effect ~~the desired, if not possible~~ improvements in the area. As an alternative to his proposals he has not suggested any remedy to enforce village sanitation rules in these tracts.

2 The Revenue Commr's proposal is opposed to the cardinal principle on which the U.P. Regulation is based viz that every village or group of villages shall be converted into village panchayats and controlled under the Regulation.

3 The reason put forth by the Rev. Commr does not seem to be tenable

For orders

BJS 4/4/26

4 The memorandum on behalf of the Dewan was

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

47842

General and Revenue Secretariat

VP File No. 1 of 1926

Serial No.

sometime back submitted to the Dewan; & this may perhaps been council. Another reference from the Dewan to like effect is before the Under Secy. The 1st member has advised very strong reasons not to change the V.P. Regln now existing & submit the file to the Dewan

NS
8/4/27

5 This may be considered with the file ~~[illegible]~~ asked to be placed before Council

MW 9/4

6 Ch Secy

YG
MW 10/4

CB

MW 11/4

CB
11/4/27

PM

REPLY

(*To be written on this margin.*)

FINANCIAL & REVENUE
4 APR 1927
LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

Special memo no. 192

Office of the Dewan of Mysore.

3

NOTE.

Dated 2nd April *192*7.

The question of not applying the Village Panchayet Regulation and Rules to malnad villages consisting of a single house, or only a few houses scattered over a large area, may be considered and instructions issued to Deputy Commissioners that it is not necessary to constitute Panchayets for such villages. In the malnad, unless there are, say, at least 25 houses grouped together, there seems no need for the formation of any Panchayet.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
5 APR. 1927
Reg. No. 7936.

M2

DEWAN.

The General Secretary to Government,
with compliments.

10227
4/4

For perusal
First Member.
2/4

There is a considerable amount of opposition to this Scheme; the question referred to above was discussed before introducing the Bill, & it was decided not to make any exceptions because if any exception is made, that would lead to mor exceptions. The Inamdars, for instance, will claim exemption for all small villages.

H

Malnad people are inclined to the over-individualistic & thinking together has advantages for them also.
I wd suggest that the question may be considered again at the June meeting with Del Coms. (Mr. Rosaningan's opinion will be fine, before finally deciding)

Lee
5/4/27

10 Abolition wd raise uncomfortable issues.
Eg. Mohatarfa, & Sanitation. Govt Mohatarfa is abolished; & there is no other law for Sanitation.
The remedy is probably to convert such places to hamlets.

Lee

Dewan

RG
5/4
MW
5/4

I have seen a sufficient number of villages myself, & studied the problem not in the Mal. Mun Malnad districts & the conclusion has been borne in upon me that it is perfectly hopeless to force municipal or panchayat bodies on a village unless it constitutes a village. By no stretch of imagination can one honestly call a village that Mr. Mun. regards as such & the Res. Mun. V. applies to them. It simply cannot work in such places. That is the opinion of the Mr. Mun. officers & of the principle V. Commr. that the actualities rising & the subject must be considered in council

Yn
5.5.27

Many villages stand in an entirely different category. They are villages, & not consist of half-a-dozen houses really or half-a-dozen sq. miles.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

10347
7/4

1. For perusal whether the file is sent to the Ch. Secy for circulation

First member 7/4

7/4/97

Ch Secy with Compts 7/4

7/4

C. B per 9/4

(L 4784 is also linked.)

Co.

N.B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

4 6

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 379
of 1926-27

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT

(clause II of the schedule)

Question of exempting certain Malnad Villages from the operation of the Village Panchayet Regulation and Rules.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

9th April 1927.

Chief Secretary to Government.

First Member of Council.

Second Member of Council. (on leave)

Third Member of Council.

D2

4

(379)

Subject

Question of exempting certain malnad villages from the operation of the Village Panchayet Regulation and Rules.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

Note

17 In Malnad ~~are~~ villages containing scattered houses, the formation of Panchayets need not be pushed through unless the local people ~~are keen~~ ~~ask for~~ are keen on the constitution of Panchayets in their villages.

MCH
22/4

U.S. (559)
28/9/17.

MCH
23/4
Pr dft-Sp. + Ann.
MCH
23/4

Mayor
23/4
Sir Mr A + Sir
Hf
23/4

47894

8

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | VP File No. 1 of 1926

Serial No.

14 Subs. work a draft go.

15 Para 2 of the draft go. is added subject to approval as some arrangements will be necessary to regulate sanitation in villages to which the Village Panchayat Regn ~~does~~ is not introduced as the Village Sanitation Regn has been repealed under Secn 2 of the U.P. Regn in consequence of the order of ~~Feb 1926~~ the 5th October 26 the addition of this para appears to be necessary.

Hoff

It is not clear whether the Regn repealed by another ~~Regulation~~ enactment can be revived in particular areas by an executive order on the ground that the repealing Regn 'Has ~~been~~ introduced into such area by any similar executive order. The Protection Branch may perhaps be consulted on the point.

16 The Council Resolution is not specific as to the number of houses which a Village should contain before it can be excluded from the operation of the Village Panchayat Regn. The W.C. Kadur however has suggested that the number be fixed at 25. A draft letter clearing

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—5,000—30-3-27

47892

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

This point is also subd for consideration.

Affairs

Sd 27/4 26/4.

17 I have added to the draft so as to exclude villages having less than 25 houses from the operation of the V.S. regn

18) It may be appd

Inw 2/5

11232 / 2/5

Pongu Pleas

Sec 3/5

First Member

Additions from Member - Draft revised. Mr S. Ram's draft was also all right. Inw 5/5

A fair draft as noted appears suitable

AS 9/5/27

19 Draft according to the personal instructions of the Member is put up

Inw 9/5

Chfec

M. St. Sign today

Spoke 10-1 Chief says awaited on Member 18/5

Inw 17/2

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat

VP File No 47894/1 of 1926

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
28. MAY 1927
Reg. No 9167

1) Draft revised according to Member's personal instructions today put up

MW 18/5

Ch Secy

1898/19/5

2) A slight change of wording is suggested for consideration in the slip attached to the draft

MW 19/5
MW 19/5

First Member)

Mean by pt
DE 20/5

2) Resubmit with two alternative drafts

MW 23/5
MW 23/5

First Member)

1208
25/5

3) I agree to draft A.

DE 26/5

MW 27/5 MW 27/5

Dewan)

4) Let us discuss the question again at the next meeting with Mem.

MW
30 5.27

1247
31/5

5) For perusal

MW 31/5 MW 31/5

1st Member)

DE 1/6/27

MW 1/6 MW 2/6

Rev. Branch for info & return
DE 6/6

Return referred
DE 2/6(27) MW 2/6

NOTE—Contd. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretaria

File No. 1 of 1926

Serial No.

Flag M

Sub Kind reference is invited to the proceedings of DCs' meeting held last June where the subject was discussed. It has been decided that some organisation is necessary to look after sanitation in malnad areas and that therefore Village Panchayats or hamlets may be established for such areas.

2) It is for orders whether draft A may now issue — vide paras 23 & 24 of the notes above

Sd 22/8

Sd 24/8

1663/25/8

Ch Secy

Fnst Member)

I agree to draft A issuing (I believe R.C was same opinion)

Sd 26/8

Issue

Sd 26/8

Sd 25/8

Sd 25/8

17 L 1207 & 29.8.27 Rev. Con. states that the introduction of the V.P. System cannot be pushed through in these villages with scattered houses but issues subsidiary instructions re constituting them into "hamlets".

Sd 26/8

Sd 2/9

NOTE—Contn. sheet

No. C3871/26-7

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

Dated Bangalore 18th April 1926.

FROM

P. G. D'SOUZA, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

GENERAL & REVENUE 4784 2 APR. 1927 LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject:- Village Panchayets - not necessary in small malnad villages.

V. P 1-26-9

I have the honour to state ~~that~~ under Chapter I ~~Ex~~ Clause 1 (2) of the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation, the Regulation is made to extend to the whole of Mysore and in the Schedule of taxes ~~liable~~ leviable under section 36 of the said Regulation (published with notification No. 4347/L.B.26-26-126 dated 6th January 1927) vacant sites and hittals exceeding 20 guntas in extent in malnad villages have also been mentioned. Thus the Regulation is made applicable to the whole of Mysore, including malnad, without any exception. It seems to me that small villages in the malnad may be excluded from the operation of the Regulation for the reason that they are often scattered and not well populated and that their inhabitants are not generally sufficiently educated to appreciate the Regulation and its benefits. There is considerable difficulty from the administrative point of view also as it may not be possible for the Government Officers to come into contact with these villages as often as necessary and watch their progress under the scheme in all seasons. If the Government agree with me, I request that needful action may be taken to amend the Regulation suitably, ~~which in their opinion, may be~~

P.T.O.

(A)

No. 26/207/V.S. 1-26/27
29/29-8-27

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

Draft for issue
26/8/27

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.C.3811/26-27, dated the 1st April 1927 suggesting that villages in the Malnad containing a few scattered houses may be excluded from the operation of the Village Panchayet Regulation, I am directed to state that Govt. agree that the introduction of the scheme cannot be rapidly pushed through in the villages referred to. But endeavours ~~to introduce the scheme~~ may be made to constitute such villages as hamlets, wherever possible, so that they may have the advantage of the sanitary provisions contained in the Regulation

and to split up such the more extensive villages into two or more hamlets

I have, etc.,

Chief ~~General~~ Secretary to Govt.

MRN.